# THE SOLUBILITY OF CO<sub>2</sub> AND N<sub>2</sub>O IN SOME C<sub>6</sub> HYDROCARBONS AT HIGH PRESSURES

#### I. Găinar \*

**abstract:** In this study we have studied the solubility of  $CO_2$  and  $N_2O$  in a series of  $C_6$  hydrocarbons, namely: n-hexane, cyclohexane and metyl-cyclopentane by pressure up to 35 bar and temperatures in a range of  $0\div25^{\circ}C$ . In all investigated systems we have found remarkable values of gas solubility with specific features that evidence some effects depending of the structure of solvents. The regular solutions theory was employed as theoretical model for calculation the solubility of gases in the investigated solvents.

## Introduction

This work is a continuation of a programme initiated for some years ago concerning the solubility of gases in a wide category of organic solvents: hydrocarbons, alchols, ketones at high pressure and a wide domain of temperatures  $[1\div3]$ .

### **Materials and Methods**

The experimental device and the detailed description of working method is presented in a separate research [4]. By using of this experimental method we have performed a study concerning the influence of the isomeric effects on gas solubility. In this purpose we have selected two cyclic hydrocarbons namely cyclohexane and metyl-cyclopentane and two gases with likewise properties,  $CO_2$  and  $N_2O$ . As a reference we have select the solubility of these gases in n-hexane. The working domain was temperatures among 273.16÷298.16 K and pressures up to 50 bar. The finding of experimental data for the mentioned system is very difficult because in this temperature interval the vapour pressures of all solvents possess considerable values. Moreover, because the solubility are obtained by measurement the amount of disrobed gas after vacuum détente of gas saturated liquid was necessary to cool the gathering ampoule at -20 <sup>0</sup>C to condense the vapours of the solvent.

Analele Universității din București – Chimie, Anul XII (serie nouă), vol. I-II, pag. 197–202 Copyright © Analele Universității din București

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest, 4-12 Bd. Regina Elisabeta, 70346 Bucharest, Romania

# **Results and Discussion**

The experimental values of gas solubility are presented in Table 1 for the systems solvents with  $CO_2$  and in Table 2 for the systems solvents with  $N_2O$ .

n-hexane + CO <sub>2</sub>			Metyl-cyclopentane + CO <sub>2</sub>			$Cyclohexane + CO_2$		
T (K)	P (bar)	X CO2	T (K)	P (bar)	X CO2	T (K)	P (bar)	X CO2
273.16	37.43	0.450	273.16					
	36.26	0.380				273.16	20.70	0.525
	32.04	0.365		28.32	0.618		29.79	0.323
	30.38	0.331		21.26	0.439		15.28	0.337
	21.85	0.219		11.27	0.240		0.80	0.230
	20.58	0.204		6.56	0.206		5 30	0.205
	12.74	0.122					5.59	0.151
	5.68	0.058						
	44 00	0.508	278.16	32.00	0.597	278.16	29.40	0 448
	39.00	0.300		22.44	0.389		22.40	0.344
278 16	29.10	0.421		15.19	0.288		16.75	0.256
270.10	21.10	0.194		10.09	0.212		11.56	0.215
	813	0.071		5.88	0.172		6.86	0.165
	0.15	0.071		5.68	0.163		0.00	0.105
	41.45	0.379	283.16	31 75	0 538	283.16	33.41	0.499
	41.25	0.368		21.65	0.362		26.26	0.346
283.16	30.96	0.260		18.71	0.290		19.60	0.264
	21.75	0.176		12.83	0.243		12.25	0.209
	12.54	0.094					8.13	0.179
	44.78	0.351		29.69	0.487	288.16	41.16	0.548
	41.25	0.340		22.54	0.398		31.55	0.393
288.16	33.51	0.254	288.16	13.52	0.273		22.65	0.313
	30.87	0.222		9.99	0.246		13.23	0.211
	21.75	0.152		5.48	0.166		7.44	0.159
	9.99	0.073						
298.16	52.55	0.350	298.16					
	41.05	0.265		25.09	0 422	298.16	12 82	0.400
	41.55	0.261		35.08	0.422		42.82	0.400
	31.85 21.65	0.203		20.00	0.315		32.73	0.321
	31.03	0.201		1/.05	0.231		12 74	0.222
	20.73	0.109		11.95	0.175		12.74	0.147
	13.08	0.100		2.00	0.120		1.34	0.114
	11.85	0.081						
	/.05	0.046						

Table 1. Experimental values of gas solubility.

One can remark considerable values of gas solubility for all investigated systems. Concerning the absolute values of solubility's expressed as molar fractions one observe noteworthy features that spotlight the influence of structural effects on gas solubility.

The smaller values of solubility were observed for systems n-hexane +  $CO_2$  and n-hexane +  $N_2O$ . For all investigated temperatures and pressures the solubility of  $CO_2$  in n-hexane is considerable greater as for  $N_2O$  in the same solvent.

For the other systems (cyclohexane and metyl-cyclopentane +  $CO_2$  and  $N_2O$  respectively) the solubility are much greater. For example, for the system metyl-cyclopentane +  $CO_2$  at temperature of 273.16 K the molar fraction of  $CO_2$  has a value of about 0.6 and in similar condition the solubility of  $CO_2$  in cyclohexane exceed the value 0.5.

For the systems cyclohexane and metyl-cyclopentane  $+ N_2O$  the solubilities reach to values of 0.8. This means the appearance of a new equilibrium: the solvent dissolved in the compressed gas, these increased values on the result of the interaction between the molecules of gas and those of the hydrocarbons.

For all investigated systems one observe at higher pressures and lower temperatures considerable deviation from Henry law. At 298.16 K the dependence  $P = f(x_2^{l})$  is a straight line and therefore the Henry law is respected. A proof of this assertion is the fact that the values of solubility obtained by extrapolation at P = 1 atm are  $(x_2^{l})_{CO2}=0.41$  and  $(x_2^{l})_{N2O}=0.40$ .

A rigorous method for the prediction of gas solubility requires a valid theory of solution but such of theory is not available. For a semiempirical description of non-polar systems the theory of regular solution can serve as a basis for the correlation of gas solubility  $[5\div7]$ .

$n$ -hexane + $N_2O$			Metyl-cyclopentane + $N_2O$			Cyclohexane $+ N_2O$		
T (K)	P (bar)	X <sub>N2O</sub>	T (K)	P (bar)	X <sub>N2O</sub>	T (K)	P (bar)	X <sub>N2O</sub>
273.16	29.6	0.486	273.16	27.73	0.810		25.67	0.610
	24.79	0.351		24.79	0.771	272 16	23.07	0.019
	19.70	0.275		19.6	0.639		20.07	0.510
	15.20	0.212		14.5	0.520	2/5.10	13.46	0.392
	9.70	0.145		9.8	0.410		10.68	0.274
	6.08	0.084		4.6	0.248		0.17	0.170
278.16	30.9	0.393	278.16	26.65	0.720			
	24.6	0.309		20.05	0.720			
	19.11	0.235		21.20	0.380			
	14.8	0.184		14.40	0.455			
	10.09	0.131		5 20	0.303			
	5.78	0.092		5.29	0.221			
	30.38	0.351	283.16	26.26	0.621		25.07	0.403
	24.89	0.292		20.20	0.021		23.97	0.493
283.16	20.09	0.229		20.0	0.498	283.16	20.07	0.409
	14.99	0.167		10.10	0.423		10.07	0.321
	10.19	0.116		5.07	0.291		10.97	0.210
	5.68	0.085		5.97	0.205		0.07	0.140
	21 75	0 211	288.16	31.06	0.610		26.36	0.448
	26.56	0.311		24.89	0.538	200 16	20.30	0.448
200 16	20.50	0.239		19.79	0.428		15.87	0.302
288.10	21.05	0.221		14.7	0.316	200.10	10.39	0.291
	10.40	0.170		9.89	0.240		5.07	0.194
	10.39	0.117		5.39	0.145		5.97	0.155
298.16	34.50	0.287	298.16	27.63	0.451		27.83	0.404
	29.98	0.258		21.05	0.431		21.85	0.404
	25.48	0.219		16.17	0.378	208 16	15.07	0.310
	19.89	0.168		10.17	0.290	290.10	10.20	0.247
	14.50	0.133		6.46	0.220		6.0	0.177
	8.03	0.072		0.40	0.102		0.0	0.122

Table 2. Experimental values of gas solubility.

For that purpose to consider a gas dissolved isothermally in a liquid far from its critical temperature. The dissolution process is accompanied by a change in enthalpy and in entropy as in the case when two liquids are mixed. The dissolution of a gas in a liquid is accompanied by a large reduction in volume, since the volume of the solute in the condensed phase is much smaller then that in the gas phase. This large decrease in volume differentiates the dissolution of a gas from the dissolution of a liquid. In order to apply

I. GĂINAR

regular solution theory which assess no volume change - it is necessary to condense firstly the gas up to a volume close to the partial molar volume of a solute dissolved in a certain solvent. The isothermal dissolution process is then considered in two steps:

$$\Delta G = \Delta G_1 + \Delta G_2 \tag{1}$$

$$\Delta G_1 = RT \ln \frac{f_2^l}{f_2^0} \tag{2}$$

$$\Delta G_2 = RT \ln \gamma_2^l \cdot x_2^l \tag{3}$$

In the first step the gas is isothermally condensed up to a hypothetical state having a volume as a liquid. In the second step this hypothetical liquid is dissolved in the solvent. Since the solute from the liquid solution is in equilibrium with the gas at unit fugacity, the equation of equilibrium is:

$$\Delta G = 0 \tag{4}$$

The regular solution theory allows the calculation of the activity coefficient of the gaseous solute with the relation:

$$RT \ln \gamma_2^{\prime} = V_2^{\prime} \left( \delta_1 - \delta_2 \right)^2 \cdot \phi_1^2$$
(5)

The substitution of equations (1), (2) and (5) into equation (4) gives the solubility at 1 atm partial pressure:

$$\frac{1}{x_2'} = \frac{f_2'}{f_2^0} \exp \frac{V_2' \cdot (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2 \cdot \phi_1^2}{RT}$$
(6)

This correlating scheme involves three parameters for the gaseous component as a hypothetical liquid: the fugacity, the volume and the solubility parameter. These parameters are all temperature dependent; however the theory the theory of regular solution assumes that at constant composition  $\ln \gamma_2^l$  is proportional with  $\frac{1}{T}$  and therefore the quantity  $V_2^l \cdot (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2 \cdot \phi_1^2$  is not temperature dependent. Thus, any convenient temperature may be used to specify  $V_2^l$  and  $\delta_2$  provided the same temperature is used for  $\delta_1$  and  $V_1^l$ . The most convenient temperature is 25 °C. The fugacity of the hypothetical liquid must be however treated as a function of temperature. The small effect of solvent on  $V_2^l$  need not be considered for the purposes of this work because the volume change is much smaller than that corresponding to step 1.

The fugacity of the hypothetical liquid solute at a pressure of 1 atm depends only on the temperature and properties of the solute and is independent of the properties of the solvent. It is possible therefore to apply the theorem of corresponding states and to show that the reduced fugacity of the hypothetical liquid solute is a universal function of the reduced temperature. For the reduced temperature range 0.7 to 0.8 vapor-pressure data for liquefied

gases (such as CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O) were used to obtain a plot of 
$$\frac{f_2'}{P_c}$$
 versus  $\frac{T}{T_c}$  (Fig. 1) [8].



Fig. 1: Fugacity of a hypothetical liquid at partial pressure of 1 atm.

For the calculation that we performed at partial pressure of 1 atm  $(f_2' = 1)$ , the molar fraction of the solute in the liquid phase is much smaller as unity and in this case we consider the volume fraction  $\phi_1 = 1$ .

The results obtained by application the regular solution model are presented in the Table 3.

Gas	Solvent	$\frac{\delta_1}{(cal/cm^3)^{1/2}}$	$ \underset{(cal/cm^3)^{1/2}}{\delta_2} $	$V_2^1$ (cm <sup>3</sup> /mol)	T <sub>c</sub> (K)	P <sub>c</sub> (bar)	$f_2^1$ (bar)	$\mathbf{x_2}^1$
$CO_2$	n-hexane	7.30	6.0	55	304.25	73	29.2	0.0292
$\rm CO_2$	cyclohexane	8.20	6.0	55	304.25	73	29.2	0.0220
$\rm CO_2$	metyl-cyclopentane	8.0	6.0	55	304.25	73	29.2	0.0240
$N_2O$	n-hexane	7.30	7.6	36	309.65	71.7	43.02	0.0230
$N_2O$	cyclohexane	8.20	7.6	36	309.65	71.7	43.02	0.0232
$N_2O$	metyl-cyclopentane	8.0	7.6	36	309.65	71.7	43.02	0.0227

Table 3. The calculated solubility of gases by application of the regular solution model.

The calculated values are in good concordance with those obtained by application the Henry law in the form  $x_2^l = \frac{1}{f_2^g}$ .

## Conclusions

By using of a genuine experimental device one have determined the solubility of  $CO_2$  and  $N_2O$  in three non-polar solvents: n-hexane, cyclohexane and metyl-cyclopentane at pressure up to 50 bar and in the temperature domain of 273.16  $\div$ 298.16 K. We have found remarkable values for solubility of gases in all organic solvents with great deviation from Henry law, especially for low temperatures. For correlation of gas solubility we have applied the regular solution model.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Vîlcu, R., Găinar, I., Maior, O., Anițescu, G. (1992) Rev. Roumanie Chim. 37, 875-8.
- 2. Vîlcu, R., Găinar, I., Anițescu, G., Perișanu, Ş. (1991) Rev. Roumanie Chim. 36, 421-7.
- 3. Vîlcu, R., Găinar, I., Anițescu, G., Perișanu, Ş. (1993) Rev. Roumanie Chim. 38, 1169-72.
- 4. Găinar, I., Anițescu, G. (1995) Fluid Phase Equil. 109, 281-9.
- 5. Pierotti, R. A. (1965) J. Phys. Chem. 69, 281.
- 6. Wilhelm, E., Battino, R. (1971) J. Chem. Thermodyn. 3, 359.
- 7. Rettich, T., Battino, R., Wilhelm, E. (1982) Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 86, 1128.
- 8. Prausnitz, J.M., Shair, F.H. (1961) A. I. Ch. E. Journal 7(4), 685.